*l*₁-Regularized Linear Regression: Persistence and Oracle Inequalities

Peter Bartlett EECS and Statistics UC Berkeley

slides at http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~bartlett

Joint work with Shahar Mendelson and Joe Neeman.

◆□ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ◆ ■ ● ● ● ●

- Random pair: $(X, Y) \sim P$, in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$.
- *n* independent samples drawn from *P*: $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)$.
- Find β so linear function $\langle X, \beta \rangle$ has small risk,

$$\mathcal{P}\ell_{eta} = \mathcal{P}\left(\langle X, eta
angle - Y
ight)^2.$$

Here, $\ell_{\beta}(X, Y) = (\langle X, \beta \rangle - Y)^2$ is the quadratic loss of the linear prediction.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

ℓ₁-regularized linear regression

- Random pair: $(X, Y) \sim P$, in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$.
- *n* independent samples drawn from *P*: $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)$.
- Find β so linear function $\langle X, \beta \rangle$ has small risk,

$$P\ell_{\beta} = P(\langle X, \beta \rangle - Y)^2.$$

Example. ℓ_1 -regularized least squares:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} |P_n \ell_\beta + \rho_n ||\beta||_{\ell_1^d} ,$$

where $P_n \ell_\beta = \frac{1}{n} \stackrel{\not\sim}{\underset{i=1}{\longrightarrow}} (\langle X_i, \beta \rangle - Y_i)^2 , \text{ and } ||\beta||_{\ell_1^d} = \stackrel{\not\sim}{\underset{j=1}{\longrightarrow}} |\beta_j|.$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Example. ℓ_1 -regularized least squares:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

- Tends to select sparse solutions (few non-zero components β_j).
- Useful, for example, if $d \gg n$.

Example. ℓ_1 -regularized least squares:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} P_n \ell_\beta + \rho_n \|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d} ,$$

Example. ℓ_1 -constrained least squares:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\|\beta\|_{\ell_1^{\alpha}} \le b_n} P_n \ell_{\beta}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

[Recall: $\ell_{\beta}(X, Y) = (\langle X, \beta \rangle - Y)^2$.]

Example. ℓ_1 -regularized least squares:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \ \mathbf{P}_n \ell_\beta + \rho_n \|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d} \ ,$$

Example. ℓ_1 -constrained least squares:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d} \le b_n} P_n \ell_{\beta}.$$

Some questions:

► Prediction: Does give accurate forecasts? e.g., How does Pl_Â compare with Pl_{β*}?

Here,
$$\beta^* = \arg \min^{\bigcap} \mathcal{P}\ell_{\beta} : \|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d} \leq b_n^{\bigcirc}$$
.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Example. ℓ_1 -regularized least squares:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \ \mathbf{P}_n \ell_\beta + \rho_n \|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d} \ ,$$

Example. ℓ_1 -constrained least squares:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d} \le b_n} P_n \ell_{\beta}.$$

Some questions:

- ► Does $\hat{\beta}$ give accurate forecasts? e.g., $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}}$ versus $P\ell_{\beta^*} = \min P\ell_{\beta} : \|\beta\|_{\ell^d_1} \le b_n$?
- **Estimation:** Under assumptions on *P*, is $\hat{\beta} \approx$ correct?
- Sparsity Pattern Estimation: Under assumptions on P, are the non-zeros of $\hat{\beta}$ correct?

Outline of Talk

- 1. For ℓ_1 -constrained least squares, bounds on $P\ell_{\beta} P\ell_{\beta^*}$.
 - ► **Persistence:** (Greenshtein and Ritov, 2004) For what $d_n, b_n \to \infty$ does $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*} \to 0$?
 - ► Convex Aggregation: (Tsybakov, 2003) For b = 1 (convex combinations of dictionary functions), what is rate of $P\ell_{\beta} - P\ell_{\beta*}$?

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- 2. For ℓ_1 -regularized least squares, oracle inequalities.
- 3. Proof ideas.

Key Issue: ℓ_{β} is unbounded, so some key tools (e.g., concentration inequalities) cannot immediately be applied.

- For (X, Y) bounded, ℓ_β can be bounded using ||β||_{ℓ^d₁}, but this gives loose prediction bounds.
- ► We use chaining to show that metric structures of ℓ₁-constrained linear functions under P_n and P are similar.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Main Results: Excess Risk

For ℓ_1 -constrained least squares,

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\|\beta\|_{\ell_1^q} \le b} P_n \ell_{\beta},$$

if *X* and *Y* have suitable tail behaviour then, with probability $1 - \delta$,

$$P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*} \leq \frac{c \log^{\alpha}(nd)}{\delta^2} \min - \frac{b^2}{n} + \frac{d}{n}, \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}} - 1 + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}$$

.

- Small *d* regime: d/n.
- Large *d* regime: b/\sqrt{n} .

Main Results: Excess Risk

For ℓ_1 -constrained least squares, with probability $1 - \delta$,

$$P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*} \leq \frac{c \log^{\alpha}(nd)}{\delta^2} \min - \frac{b^2}{n} + \frac{d}{n}, \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}} - 1 + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Conditions:

- 1. PY^2 is bounded by a constant.
- 2. $\|X\|_{\infty}$ bounded a.s.,
 - X log concave and $\max_{j} \|\langle X, e_{j} \rangle \|_{L_{2}} \leq c$, or

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

X log concave and isotropic.

Application: Persistence

Consider ℓ_1 -constrained least squares,

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d} \le b} P_n \ell_{\beta}.$$

Suppose that PY^2 is bounded by a constant and tails of *X* decay nicely (e.g., $||X||_{\infty}$ bounded a.s. or *X* log concave and isotropic).

Then for increasing d_n and

$$b_n = o \quad \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log^{3/2} n \log^{3/2} (nd_n)}$$
,

1

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 ℓ_1 -constrained least squares is persistent (i.e., $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*} \rightarrow 0$).

Application: Persistence

If PY^2 is bounded and tails of X decay nicely, then ℓ_1 -constrained least squares is persistent provided that d_n is increasing and

$$b_n = o \quad \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log^{3/2} n \log^{3/2} (nd_n)}$$

Previous Results (Greenshtein and Ritov, 2004):

- 1. $b_n = \omega(n^{1/2} / \log^{1/2} n)$ implies empirical minimization is not persistent for Gaussian (*X*, *Y*).
- 2. $b_n = o(n^{1/2} / \log^{1/2} n)$ implies empirical minimization is persistent for Gaussian (*X*, *Y*).
- 3. $b_n = o(n^{1/4} / \log^{1/4} n)$ implies empirical minimization is persistent under tail conditions on (X, Y).

Application: Convex Aggregation

Consider b = 1, so that the ℓ_1 -ball of radius b is the convex hull of a dictionary of d functions (the components of X). Tsybakov (2003) showed that, for any aggregation scheme $\hat{\beta}$, the rate of convex aggregation satisfies

$$P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*} = \Omega \quad \min \quad \frac{d}{n}, \stackrel{\Gamma}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\log d}{n}$$

For bounded, isotropic distributions, our result implies that this rate can be achieved, up to log factors, by least squares over the convex hull of the dictionary.

Previous positive results (Tsybakov, 2003; Bunea, Tsybakov and Wegkamp, 2006) involved complicated estimators.

Outline of Talk

- 1. For ℓ_1 -constrained least squares, bounds on $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} P\ell_{\beta^*}$.
 - Persistence:

For what $d_n, b_n \to \infty$ does $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*} \to 0$?

Convex Aggregation:
 For b = 1 (convex combinations of dictionary functions), what is rate of Pl_β - Pl_{β*}?

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- 2. For ℓ_1 -regularized least squares, oracle inequalities.
- 3. Proof ideas.

Proof Ideas: 1. ϵ -equivalence of *P* and *P_n* structures

Define

$$egin{aligned} G_\lambda = & rac{\lambda}{m{P}(\ell_eta-\ell_{eta^*})}(\ell_eta-\ell_{eta^*}):m{P}(\ell_eta-\ell_{eta^*})\geq\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Then:

E sup_{*g*∈*G*_λ |*P*_{*n*}*g* − *Pg*| is small ⇒ with high probability, for all β with $P(\ell_\beta - \ell_{\beta^*}) \ge \lambda$,}

$$(1-\epsilon)P(\ell_{\beta}-\ell_{\beta^*}) \leq P_n(\ell_{\beta}-\ell_{\beta^*}) \leq (1+\epsilon)P(\ell_{\beta}-\ell_{\beta^*})$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 $\Rightarrow P(\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - \ell_{\beta^*}) \leq \lambda$, where $\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta} P_n \ell_{\beta}$.

Proof Ideas: 2. Symmetrization, subgaussian tails

<ロ>

Proof Ideas: 3. Chaining

For a subgaussian process $\{Z_t\}$ indexed by a metric space (T, d), and for $t_0 \in T$,

$$\mathsf{E}\sup_{t\in\mathcal{T}}|Z_t-Z_{t_0}|\leq c\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T},d)=c\int_{0}^{Z}\operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{T},d)\operatorname{p}\overline{\log N(\epsilon,\mathcal{T},d)}\,d\epsilon,$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

where $N(\epsilon, T, d)$ is the ϵ covering number of T.

Proof Ideas: 4. Bounding the Entropy Integral

It suffices to calculate the entropy integral $\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{\lambda}D \cap 2bB_1^d, d)$. We can approximate this by

 $\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{\lambda} D \cap 2\textit{bB}_1^{\textit{d}}, \textit{d}) \leq \min \ \mathcal{D}(\sqrt{\lambda} D, \textit{d}), \mathcal{D}(2\textit{bB}_1^{\textit{d}}, \textit{d}) \ .$

This leads to:

$$P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*} \leq rac{c\log^{lpha}(nd)}{\delta^2}\min - rac{b^2}{n} + rac{d}{n}, rac{b}{\sqrt{n}} - 1 + rac{b}{\sqrt{n}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Proof Ideas: 5. Oracle Inequalities

We get an isomorphic condition on $\{\ell_{\beta} - \ell_{\beta^*}\}$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{P}_{n}(\ell_{\beta}-\ell_{\beta^{*}})-\epsilon_{n}\leq \boldsymbol{P}(\ell_{\beta}-\ell_{\beta^{*}})\leq 2\boldsymbol{P}_{n}(\ell_{\beta}-\ell_{\beta^{*}})+\epsilon_{n},$$

and this implies that $\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta} (P_n \ell_{\beta} + c \epsilon_n)$ has

$$P\ell_{eta} \leq \inf_{eta} P\ell_{eta} + c'\epsilon_n$$
 .

This leads to oracle inequality: For ℓ_1 -regularized least squares,

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta} P_n \ell_{\beta} + \rho_n \|\beta\|_{\ell_1^{d_n}},$$

with probability at least 1 - o(1),

$$P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} \leq \inf_{\beta} P\ell_{\beta} + c\rho_n 1 + \|\beta\|_{\ell_1^{d_n}}$$

Outline of Talk

1. For ℓ_1 -constrained least squares,

$$m{P}\ell_{\hat{eta}} - m{P}\ell_{eta^*} \leq rac{c\log^lpha(nd)}{\delta^2} {
m min} \quad rac{b^2}{n} + rac{d}{n}, rac{b}{\sqrt{n}} \quad 1 + rac{b}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Persistence: If b_n = õ(√n), then Pℓ_{β̂} - Pℓ_{β*} → 0. Convex Aggregation: Empirical risk minimization gives optimal rate (up to log factors): Õ min(d/n, ^D log d/n).

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- 2. For ℓ_1 -regularized least squares, oracle inequalities.
- 3. Proof ideas: subgaussian Rademacher process.